

time the line at 5960 Å. remained at the same relative intensity to the other lines of samarium throughout the series. The only impurity eliminated was a faint trace of neodymium, the absorption bands of which appeared quite distinct from the line in question. It was, therefore, concluded that the line at 5960 Å. belonged to samarium and had escaped observation before owing to its extreme faintness.

The figure shows a microphotometric curve taken from part of the absorption spectrum of samarium nitrate photographed on a Hilger E1 quartz spectrograph as described elsewhere.¹ The solution, which was 6.0 *N* in samarium nitrate, was in a 15-cm. absorption cell. The temperature was 80°, as the line is more intense when the solution is hot. Some idea of the relative intensities of the new line and the one at 5600 Å. may be gained from the figure.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE
CHEMICAL LABORATORY OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA, ILLINOIS
RECEIVED JANUARY 30, 1930
PUBLISHED MAY 8, 1930

PIERCE W. SELWOOD

The Standardization of Weights.—The accuracy of the Richards method¹ for the standardization of weights by substitution can be doubled by using the method of weighing by transposition. This latter method makes the use of a set of tare weights unnecessary, and involves no more time or difficulty than the Richards method. In fact, this is one operation to which the little-used transposition weighing seems peculiarly suited.

If the weights W_1 and W_2 are to be compared, W_1 is placed on the left pan and W_2 on the right and the zero point noted. The weights are then reversed and the difference, d , necessary to bring the pointer to the same zero point is noted. It can be shown readily that $W_2 - W_1 = d(l_2) \div (l_1 + l_2)$ where l_1 and l_2 are, respectively, the lengths of the beam to the left and to the right of the central knife edge. Since d is very small, any error introduced by assuming that $l_1 = l_2$ is entirely negligible, so $W_2 - W_1 = d/2$, where d may, of course, be either a positive or negative quantity. The method of calculating the corrections remains the same.

Variations in the relative lengths of the balance arms are not likely to occur during any one comparison, and variations between comparisons could not affect the value of $W_2 - W_1$ appreciably.

CONTRIBUTION FROM THE
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN
RECEIVED FEBRUARY 7, 1930
PUBLISHED MAY 8, 1930

PHILIP F. WEATHERILL

¹ Quill and Selwood with Hopkins, *THIS JOURNAL*, **50**, 2929 (1928).

¹ T. W. Richards, *ibid.*, **22**, 144 (1900).